

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	_	· , —		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO):		
AIRS ID#: 1150113 DA	ATE: <u>10/10/2008</u>	ARRIVE: <u>~1:25 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>~2:30 PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: JE	ENNINGS FUNERAL HOME &	& CREMATORY, LLC			
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 5750 Swift Rd				
	SARASOTA 34231-	-6214			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DOUGLAS JENNINGS PHONE: (941)926-2223					
CONTACT NAME: I	DOUGLAS JENNINGS	PHONE	E:		
ENTITLEMENT PERI	IOD: 6/26/2006 / 6/26/201 (effective date) (end date)	11			
	. , , , ,				
	NCE MINOR Non-COM		NT Non-COMPLIANCE		
_					
_	ECORDKEEPING REQUIRE	MPLIANCE SIGNIFICAN EMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.			
PART II: TESTING/RI (check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any ol 2. Was a visible emi	ECORDKEEPING REQUIRE ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during the	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.	.A.C. ☐ Yes ☑ No ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter		
PART II: TESTING/RI (check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demort days prior to the	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during the nstrate individual source compli AGP Notification form submiss	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F. is site visit according to EPA Me iance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to 6	A.C. Yes No ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Sisions test conducted 60 each anniversary date?		
PART II: TESTING/RI (check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any ol 2. Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demor days prior to the (Rule 62-296.401 4. In order to demor completed within	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during the strate individual source compli AGP Notification form submiss 1(5)(i), F.A.C.) nstrate individual source compli n 60 days prior to the AGP Noti	is site visit according to EPA Me iance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to eliance were the remaining applical ification form submission? (Rule	A.C. Yes No ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? She Standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.)		
PART II: TESTING/RI (check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demor days prior to the (Rule 62-296.401 4. In order to demor completed within a) Carbon Mono volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test p	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during the instrate individual source compli AGP Notification form submiss 1(5)(i), F.A.C.) instrate individual source compli n 60 days prior to the AGP Noti poxide (CO) emissions equal to on s, corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hou or 62-297, F.A.C.)?	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F. is site visit according to EPA Me iance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to e iance were the remaining applical ification form submission? (Rule r below the requirements of 100 p irrly average basis and tested according to the reduced of the results of the reduced	A.C. Yes No ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Sisions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? Sible standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Parts per million by ording to EPA Method A.C.)? Yes No N		
PART II: TESTING/RI (check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demort days prior to the (Rule 62-296.401 4. In order to demort completed within a) Carbon Monot volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pc) Particulate mater dry standard cubit (Ref.: Chapter.62	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during the issions test conducted during the instrate individual source compli AGP Notification form submiss 1(5)(i), F.A.C.) instrate individual source compli n 60 days prior to the AGP Noti oxide (CO) emissions equal to one oxide (CO) emissions equal	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F. Lis site visit according to EPA Me Liance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to eliance were the remaining applical ification form submission? (Rule release below the requirements of 100 purly average basis and tested according to 100 purly average basis and 100 purly ave	A.C. Yes No ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Sisions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? Shear Signature Street		
PART II: TESTING/RI (check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demort days prior to the (Rule 62-296.401) 4. In order to demort completed within a) Carbon Monot volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pto Particulate material dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter.62) 5. Was all emissions capacity?	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during the issions test conducted during the constrate individual source compliance demonstrate individual source compliance demonstrate individual source complianted for the AGP Notification form submissions and the source complianted days prior to the AGP Notification for the AGP Notific	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F. Lis site visit according to EPA Meliance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to eliance were the remaining applical ification form submission? (Rule release basis and tested according to 43 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F. equal to or below the requirement to 7% O ₂ and tested according to	A.C. Yes No ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Sisions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? Section Standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Parts per million by Ording to EPA Method Section Standards Testing Ording Testing Standards Testing Standards Testing Ording Testing Standards Testing Standards Testing Standards Testing Ording Testing Standards Testing		

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to recon	
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	⊠Yes ∐ No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the	recording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	∐Yes ∐ No
2) Monitoring device	Yes No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	Yes No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	Yes No
6) Adjustments	Yes No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes No
Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F	? \(\sum \text{Yes} \subseteq \text{No}
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than $1400^{\circ}F$?	⊠Yes □ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠Yes □ No
If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence	time
@ 1800° F?	Yes No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	_
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crema	
process begins in the primary chamber?	- Yes No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that t	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	Yes No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	☐Yes ☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	□Yes □ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURI A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>ES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-local program office? If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent was required, have all operators been retrained to o In the case of new or modified equipment, where a required, has the owner submitted copies of all ope a) submitted within the 15 day required window for 	out replacement?
Debbie Telemeco Anders, ESII	10/10/2008
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	~2009
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: INS 3. Debbie Telemeco Anders observed visible emissions compliance test. New electric fan motor on EU-001 in July 2008. Blue, East stack is EU-001; ~ 180 lb female (Pamela Cleek ?). Red, West stack is EU-002; ~200 lb male (Harold Berman ?). Chart photographed.